

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,447	. (	01/24/2001	Scott Lee Chung	2395	
	7590	11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
Richard Yo			HAMILTON, LALITA M		
18931 Kinbrace Street Northridge, CA 91326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• ,				3624	

**DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	09/768,447	CHUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lalita M. Hamilton	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Au</u></li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 3624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Summary

On May 5, 2005, an Office Action was mailed to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-27. On August 5, 2005, the Applicant responded by amending claims 1-11, 15, 19, and 24.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The rejection set forth in the previous Office Action has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2, 4-11, 13-20, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naylor (US 2001/0049648) in view of Do (US 2002/0007338).

Naylor discloses the invention substantially as claimed (as set forth in the previous Office Action), and further discloses a fixed price per bid (p.4, 35; p.6, 56; and

Application/Control Number: 09/768,447

Art Unit: 3624

p.10, 143-144). Naylor does not disclose setting a cut-off date, a minimum number of bids greater than one, or customizing credits associated with the item for each user by listing the user name as co-producer of the work of art if the number of bids for the work of art reaches the minimum number of bids. Do teaches a method and corresponding system and computer program product for conducting bidding sessions comprising setting a cut-off date (p.9, 92-93), a minimum number of bids greater than one (p.9, 92-93 and p.12, claim 17), and the option to customize credits associated with the item for each user by listing the user name if the number of bids reaches the minimum number of bids (p.p.92 and fig.9A-C—user has option to set the parameters). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate setting a cut-off date, a minimum number of bids greater than one, and customizing credits associated with the item for each user if the number of bids reaches the minimum number of bids, as taught by Do into the invention disclosed by Naylor, to allow enough time for the bid and ensure that appropriate credit is given.

Claims 3, 12, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naylor in view of Do, as applied to claims 1, 10, and 19 above, and in further view of Bundy (US 2002/0013761).

Naylor discloses and Do teaches the invention substantially as claimed; however, neither reference discloses or teaches obtaining a pre-payment or a guarantee for payment for the work of art. Bundy teaches a method and corresponding system and computer program product for an auction comprising obtaining prepayment (p.6, 73). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate a prepayment, as taught by Bundy into the invention disclosed by Naylor and taught by Do, to protect the interest of the seller.

## Provisional Application Listed on PTO-892 form

If a copy of a provisional application listed on the bottom portion of the accompanying Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) form is not included with this Office action and the PTO-892 has been annotated to indicate that the copy was not readily available, it is because the copy could not be readily obtained when the Office action was mailed. Should applicant desire a copy of such a provisional application, applicant should promptly request the copy from the Office of Public Records (OPR) in accordance with 37 CFR 1.14(a)(1)(iv), paying the required fee under 37 CFR 1.19(b)(1). If a copy is ordered from OPR, the shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action will not be reset under MPEP § 710.06 unless applicant can demonstrate a substantial delay by the Office in fulfilling the order for the copy of the provisional application. Where the applicant has been notified on the PTO-892 that a copy of the provisional application is not readily available, the provision of MPEP § 707.05(a) that a copy of the cited reference will be automatically furnished without charge does not apply.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Laux M. Damelto

Art Unit: 3624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).